UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

		CIVIL WIII	NUTES - GENERAL		
Case No.	CV 11-0460	06 SVW (FMOx)		Date	June 20, 2011
Title	itle Signet Domain, LLC v. Leticia Valladares, et al.				
			[JS-6]		
Present: The Honorable STEPHEN V.		STEPHEN V. WIL	SON, U.S. DISTRICT JUI	OGE	
Paul M. Cruz			N/A		
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter / Recorder		Tape No.
Attorneys Present for Plaintiff		ent for Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:		
N/A		J/A	N/A		
C		N CHAMBERS ORD County of Los Angeles	HAMBERS ORDER Remanding Case to Superior Court of California, ity of Los Angeles		
"The the issue is 687 (9th Ci Defi 1331. Howe Frierson, 20 state law cland counter jurisdiction pleaded con Furt 1332. The pher citizens non-resident Acc	is Court has the raised by the part 2003). The rendent's remove ever, Unlawfur 2009 WL 36566 aim."). Defendent aims has not exists only we mplaint." Cate ther, Defendant property in question as being out defendants. Cordingly, the cordingly, the cordinal	re duty to consider subparties or not." See Special Val filing was made on 1 Detainer is a state law 53, at *2 n.8 (C.D. Caldant's attempt to base for basis in law. (Pet. for hen a federal question repillar Inc. v. Williams at cannot remove the castion is located in Caldany state other than 628 U.S.C. § 1441(b). Court cannot exercise	this Court on May 27, 201 bject matter jurisdiction sual encer Enterprises, Inc. v. Use the basis of federal question of the basis of federal question of the basis of federal question of the latest of the basis of federal question jurisdiction of the face of the presented on the presented on the presented on the presented on the pres	on juris Institute Son jur	States, 345 F.3d 683, sdiction, 28 U.S.C. § Homesales, Inc. v. tainer action is a true r purported defenses that "federal aintiff's properly on under 28 U.S.C. § papers do not indicate only be effected by